

**To:** "atwork" [mugdan.walter@epa.gov]  
**From:** CN=Walter Mugdan/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US  
**Sent:** Thur 7/1/2010 11:37:00 AM  
**Subject:** Fw: Hudson River Peer Review Issue

-----Original Message-----

To: Elizabeth Southerland  
To: James Woolford  
To: Elliott Gilberg  
Cc: John LaPadula  
Cc: Doug Garbarini  
Cc: David King  
Cc: Paul Simon  
Subject: Fw: Hudson River Peer Review Issue  
Sent: Jul 1, 2010 7:36 AM

Here is the letter from GE requesting that its latest document on modelling be sent to the peer review panel. We are meeting with Ann Klee today -- this will surely be a topic of discussion.

Judith Enck is supportive of our position that it is too late in the review process for either party to be submitting new information. Meanwhile, we have spent the past two days in technical meetings with GE discussing the company's modelling work. Our modelling consultants will be analyzing the model thoroughly, but that will take months.

By the way, it is my understanding that GE's current position, based on its modeling, is that the current limit of 650 kg of PCBs allowed to be transported to the lower Hudson over the life of the project can only be increased to about 920 kg. Our position is that about 2000 kg is acceptable.

-----Original Message-----

From: Ann Klee  
To: Judith Enck  
Cc: Walter Mugdan  
Subject: Hudson River Peer Review Issue  
Sent: Jun 30, 2010 4:02 PM

<< 2010-06-30 ARK ltr to J Enck model results.doc >>  
- 2010-06-30 ARK ltr to J Enck model results.doc

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services